## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

## Correlation coefficient:

The quantity $r$, called the linear correlation coefficient, measures the strength and the direction of a linear relationship between two variables. The linear correlation coefficient is sometimes referred to as the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient in honor of its developer Karl Pearson.

The correlation coefficient between two variables $x$ and $y$ is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho \operatorname{orr}=\frac{\operatorname{cov}(x, y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(x) \operatorname{var}(y)}} \\
r=\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\left(\sum x_{i}^{2}-n \bar{x}^{2}\right)\left(\sum y_{i}^{2}-n \bar{y}^{2}\right)}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $n$ is the number of pairs of data.
The value of $r$ is such that $-1<r<+1$. The + and - signs are used for positive linear correlations and negative linear correlations, respectively.

A perfect correlation of $\pm 1$ occurs only when the data points all lie exactly on a straight line. If $r=+1$, the slope of this line is positive. If $r=-1$, the slope of this line is negative.


## Positive correlation:

If $x$ and $y$ have a strong positive linear correlation, $r$ is close to +1 . An $r$ value of exactly +1 indicates a perfect positive fit. Positive values indicate a relationship between $x$ and $y$ variables such that as values for $x$ increases, values for $y$ also increase.

## Negative correlation:

If $x$ and $y$ have a strong negative linear correlation, $r$ is close to -1 . An $r$ value of exactly -1 indicates a perfect negative fit. Negative values indicate a relationship between $x$ and $y$ such that as values for $x$ increase, values for $y$ decrease.

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

## No correlation:

If there is no linear correlation or a weak linear correlation, $r$ is close to 0 . A value near zero means that there is a random, nonlinear relationship between the two variables.

Note: $r$ is a dimensionless quantity; that is, it does not depend on the units employed.
A correlation greater than 0.8 is generally described as strong, whereas a correlation less than 0.5 is generally described as weak. These values can vary based upon the "type" of data being examined. A study utilizing scientific data may require a stronger correlation than a study using social science data.

## Coefficient of Determination $r^{2}$ or $R^{2}$ :

The coefficient of determination, $r^{2}$, is useful because it gives the proportion of the variance (fluctuation) of one variable that is predictable from the other variable. It is a measure that allows us to determine how certain one can be in making predictions from a certain model/graph. The coefficient of determination is the ratio of the explained variation to the total variation.

The coefficient of determination is such that $0<r^{2}<1$, and denotes the strength of the linear association between $x$ and $y$. The coefficient of determination represents the percent of the data that is the closest to the line of best fit.

For example, if $r=0.922$, then $r^{2}=0.850$, which means that $85 \%$ of the total variation in $y$ can be explained by the linear relationship between $x$ and $y$ (as described by the regression equation). The other $15 \%$ of the total variation in $y$ remains unexplained.

The coefficient of determination is a measure of how well the regression line represents the data. If the regression line passes exactly through every point on the scatter plot, it would be able to explain all of the variation. The further the line is away from the points, the less it is able to explain.

## Example:

Emotion seems to play a pivotal role in determining popularity of a celebrity. In an exclusive survey made available to them by ad agency, Denstu-India, shows that the top 29 celebrity rankings are hugely impacted by the love/like quotient besides other parameters like performance. As per an article in Economic Times dt. $16^{\text {th }}$ October 2006, the following are the scores for some of the Indian celebrities for the years 2005 and 2006.

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

| Celebrity | Like Score 2005* | Like Score 2006** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rahul Dravid | 59 | 53 |
| Amitabh Bachchan | 56 | 51 |
| Sachin Tendulkar | 43 | 50 |
| Aishwarya Rai | 56 | 50 |
| Sania Mirza | 21 | 49 |
| Yuvaraj Singh | 61 | 46 |
| Sushmita Sen | 56 | 46 |
| Virendra Sehwag | 64 | 45 |
| Aamir Khan | 57 | 57 |
| Rani Mukherjee | 45 |  |

Find the correlation coefficient between Like score 2005 and 2006.

## Solution:

Here $n=10$

| $x$ | $y$ | $x y$ | $x^{2}$ | $y^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 59 | 53 | 3127 | 3481 | 2809 |
| 56 | 51 | 2856 | 3136 | 2601 |
| 43 | 50 | 2150 | 1849 | 2500 |
| 56 | 50 | 2800 | 3136 | 2500 |
| 21 | 49 | 1029 | 441 | 2401 |
| 61 | 46 | 2806 | 3721 | 2116 |
| 56 | 46 | 2576 | 3136 | 2116 |
| 64 | 46 | 2944 | 4096 | 2116 |
| 57 | 45 | 2565 | 3249 | 2025 |
| 57 | 45 | 2565 | 3249 | 2025 |
| 530 | 481 | 25418 | 29494 | 23209 |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum x_{i}=530, \quad \sum y_{i}=481, \quad \sum x_{i}^{2}=29494, \quad \sum y_{i}^{2}=23209 \\
\sum x_{i} y_{i}=25418
\end{gathered}
$$

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{530}{10}=53 \\
\bar{y}=\frac{\sum y_{i}}{n}=\frac{481}{10}=48.1 \\
\rho=\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\sum x_{i}^{2}-n \bar{x}^{2}} \sqrt{\sum y_{i}^{2}-n \bar{y}^{2}}} \\
\rho=\frac{25418-10 \times 53 \times 48.1}{\sqrt{29494-10 \times(53)^{2}} \sqrt{23209-10 \times(48.1)^{2}}} \\
\rho=\frac{-75}{\sqrt{1404} \sqrt{72.9}} \\
\rho=-0.23
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example:

The following data gives the closing prices of BSE Sensex, and the stock price of an individual company viz. ICICI bank during the 10 trading days during the period from $6^{\text {th }}$ to $21^{\text {st }}$ March 2006.

| Date | SENSEX | ICICI Bank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6-3-2006$ | 10735 | 613.20 |
| $7-3-2006$ | 10725 | 600.65 |
| $8-3-2006$ | 10509 | 590.55 |
| $9-3-2006$ | 10574 | 601.75 |
| $10-3-2006$ | 10765 | 612.90 |
| $13-3-2006$ | 10804 | 603.10 |
| $16-3-2006$ | 10878 | 607.50 |
| $17-3-2006$ | 10860 | 605.25 |
| $20-3-2006$ | 10941 | 605.40 |
| $21-3-2006$ | 10905 | 597.80 |

Find the correlation coefficient between SENSEX and ICICI Bank.

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

## Solution:

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{x y}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10735 | 613.2 | 6582702 | 115240225 | 376014.2 |
| 10725 | 600.65 | 6441971 | 115025625 | 360780.4 |
| 10509 | 590.55 | 6206090 | 110439081 | 348749.3 |
| 10574 | 601.75 | 6362905 | 111809476 | 362103.1 |
| 10765 | 612.9 | 6597869 | 115885225 | 375646.4 |
| 10804 | 603.1 | 6515892 | 116726416 | 363729.6 |
| 10878 | 607.5 | 6608385 | 118330884 | 369056.3 |
| 10860 | 605.25 | 6573015 | 117939600 | 366327.6 |
| 10941 | 605.4 | 6623681 | 119705481 | 366509.2 |
| 10905 | 597.8 | 6519009 | 118919025 | 357364.8 |
| 107696 | 6038.1 | 65031519 | 1160021038 | 3646281 |

$$
\sum x_{i}=107696, \quad \sum y_{i}=6038.1, \quad \sum x_{i}^{2}=1160021038, \quad \sum y_{i}^{2}=3646281
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum x_{i} y_{i}=65031519, \mathrm{n}=10 \\
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{107696}{10}=10769.6 \\
\bar{y}=\frac{\sum y_{i}}{n}=\frac{6038.1}{10}=603.81 \\
\rho=\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\sum x_{i}^{2}-n \bar{x}^{2}} \sqrt{\sum y_{i}^{2}-n \bar{y}^{2}}} \\
65031519-10 \times 10769.6 \times 603.81 \\
\rho=\frac{3597.24}{\sqrt{1160021038-10 \times(10769.6)^{2}} \sqrt{3646281-10 \times(603.81)^{2}}} \\
\rho=\frac{\rho 196.4}{415.84}
\end{gathered}
$$

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

## Spearman Rank Correlation:

Spearman Rank Correlation is a measure of the strength of the associations between two variables. Spearman's Rank correlation coefficient is a technique which can be used to summarize the strength and direction (negative or positive) of a relationship between two variables. Spearman Rank Correlation between two variables $x$ and $y$ is given by

$$
r_{s}=1-6 \sum \frac{d_{i}^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}
$$

Always $r_{s}$ lies between -1 and +1 .

## Method-calculating the coefficient:

> Create a table from your data.
$>$ Rank the two data sets. Ranking is achieved by giving the ranking ' 1 ' to the biggest number in a column, '2' to the second biggest value and so on. The smallest value in the column will get the lowest ranking. This should be done for both sets of measurements.
> Tied scores are given the mean (average) rank. For example, the three tied scores of 1 euro in the example below are ranked fifth in order of price, but occupy three positions (fifth, sixth and seventh) in a ranking hierarchy of ten. The mean rank in this case is calculated as $(5+6+7) \div 3=6$.
> Calculate the coefficient $r_{s}$ by the formula given below

$$
r_{s}=1-6 \sum \frac{d_{i}^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}
$$

## Example:

As per a study, the following are the ranks of priorities for ten factors taken as 'Job Commitment Drivers' among the executives in Asia Pacific (AP) and India. Calculate the rank correlation between properties of 'Job Commitment Drivers' among executives from India and Asia Pacific.

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

| Job Commitment Drivers | Favourable Rank |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | India | Asia Pacific |
| Job satisfaction | 1 | 1 |
| Work environment | 2 | 2 |
| Team work | 3 | 4 |
| Communication | 4 | 3 |
| Performance Management | 5 | 5 |
| Innovation | 6 | 6 |
| Leadership | 7 | 9 |
| Training and development | 8 | 7 |
| Supervision | 9 | 8 |
| Compensation/Benefits | 10 | 10 |

## Solution:

Here $n=10$

| $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $d_{i}=r_{1}-r_{2}$ | $d_{i}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 4 | -1 | 1 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 9 | -2 | 4 |
| 8 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Sum |  |  |  |

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rank correlation } r_{s}=1-\frac{6 \sum d_{i}^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)} \\
& =1-\frac{6(8)}{10\left(10^{2}-1\right)}=1-0.048 \\
& r_{s}=0.952
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example:

Calculate rank correlation coefficient between the two series $X$ and $Y$, given below:

| $\mathbf{X}$ | 70 | 65 | 71 | 62 | 58 | 69 | 78 | 64 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{Y}$ | 91 | 76 | 65 | 83 | 90 | 64 | 55 | 48 |

## Solution:

Here $n=8$

| $\boldsymbol{X}$ | Rank of $\boldsymbol{X}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | Rank of $\boldsymbol{y}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}=\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{1}}-\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | 6 | 91 | 8 | -2 | 4 |
| 65 | 4 | 76 | 5 | -1 | 1 |
| 71 | 7 | 65 | 4 | 3 | 9 |
| 62 | 2 | 83 | 6 | -4 | 16 |
| 58 | 1 | 90 | 7 | -6 | 36 |
| 69 | 5 | 64 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 78 | 8 | 55 | 2 | 6 | 36 |
| 64 | 3 | 48 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Sum |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rank correlation } r_{s}=1-\frac{\bar{n}}{} \\
=1-\frac{6 \times 110}{8\left(8^{2}-1\right)} \\
=1-\frac{660}{504}
\end{gathered}
$$

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1-1.3095 \\
& r_{s}=-0.3095
\end{aligned}
$$

## Regression analysis:

A statistical measure that attempts to determine the strength of the relationship between one dependent variable (usually denoted by $Y$ ) and a series of other changing variables (known as independent variables).

## Types of Regression:

The two basic types of regression are linear regression and multiple regression.
$>$ Linear regression uses one independent variable to explain and/or predict the outcome of Y .
$>$ Multiple regression uses two or more independent variables to predict the outcome.
The general form of each type of regression is:
Linear Regression: $Y=a+b X$
Multiple Regression: $Y=a+b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{2}+b_{3} X_{3}+\ldots+b_{t} X_{t}$
Where
$Y=$ the variable that we are trying to predict
$X=$ the variable that we are using to predict $Y$
$a=$ the intercept
$b=$ the slope
In multiple regression the separate variables are differentiated by using subscripted numbers. Regression takes a group of random variables, thought to be predicting $Y$, and tries to find a mathematical relationship between them. This relationship is typically in the form of a straight line (linear regression) that best approximates all the individual data points. Regression is often used to determine how much specific factors such as the price of a commodity, interest rates, particular industries or sectors influence the price movement of an asset.

## Finding the regression line using method of least squares:

The regression line $y$ on $x$ is given by $y=a+b x$
Where

$$
\begin{gathered}
b=\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_{i}^{2}-n \bar{x}^{2}} \\
a=\bar{y}-b \bar{x}
\end{gathered}
$$

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

The regression line $x$ on $y$ is given by $x=c+d y$
Where

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum y_{i}^{2}-n \bar{y}^{2}} \\
c=\bar{x}-d \bar{y} \\
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}, \quad \bar{y}=\frac{\sum y_{i}}{n} \text { and }
\end{gathered}
$$

$n$ is the number of pairs of data.

## Standard error of estimator (Regression Line):

The square root of the residual variance is called the standard error of regression line.
The residual variance for the regression line $y=a+b x$ is given by

$$
\sigma_{e}^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(y_{i}-\widehat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{n} \text { where } \widehat{y}_{i}=a+b x_{i}
$$

## Example:

A tyre manufacturing company is interested in removing pollutants from the exhaust at the factory, and cost is a concern. The company has collected data from other companies concerning the amount of money spent on environmental measures and the resulting amount of dangerous pollutants released (as a percentage of total emissions)

| Money spent <br> (Rupees in <br> lakhs) | 8.4 | 10.2 | 16.5 | 21.7 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 11.5 | 18.4 | 16.7 | 19.3 | 28.4 | 4.7 | 12.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of <br> dangerous <br> pollutants | 35.9 | 31.8 | 24.7 | 25.2 | 36.8 | 35.8 | 33.4 | 25.4 | 31.4 | 27.4 | 15.8 | 31.5 | 28.9 |

a) Compute the regression equation.
b) Predict the percentage of dangerous pollutants released when Rs. 20,000 is spent on control measures.
c) Find the standard error of the estimate(regression line).

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

## Solution:

Let $x$ and $y$ represents money spent and percentage of dangerous pollutants respectively.

Here $\mathrm{n}=13$

| $x$ | $y$ | $x y$ | $x^{2}$ | $\hat{y}=a+b x$ | $y-\hat{y}$ | $(y-\hat{y})^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.4 | 35.9 | 301.56 | 70.56 | 30.3472 | 5.5528 | 30.83359 |
| 10.2 | 31.8 | 324.36 | 104.04 | 30.1006 | 1.6994 | 2.88796 |
| 16.5 | 24.7 | 407.55 | 272.25 | 29.2375 | -4.5375 | 20.58891 |
| 21.7 | 25.2 | 546.84 | 470.89 | 28.5251 | -3.3251 | 11.05629 |
| 9.4 | 36.8 | 345.92 | 88.36 | 30.2102 | 6.5898 | 43.42546 |
| 8.3 | 35.8 | 297.14 | 68.89 | 30.3609 | 5.4391 | 29.58381 |
| 11.5 | 33.4 | 384.1 | 132.25 | 29.9225 | 3.4775 | 12.09301 |
| 18.4 | 25.4 | 467.36 | 338.56 | 28.9772 | -3.5772 | 12.79636 |
| 16.7 | 31.4 | 524.38 | 278.89 | 29.2101 | 2.1899 | 4.795662 |
| 19.3 | 27.4 | 528.82 | 372.49 | 28.8539 | -1.4539 | 2.113825 |
| 28.4 | 15.8 | 448.72 | 806.56 | 27.6072 | -11.8072 | 139.41 |
| 4.7 | 31.5 | 148.05 | 22.09 | 30.8541 | 0.6459 | 0.417187 |
| 12.3 | 28.9 | 355.47 | 151.29 | 29.8129 | -0.9129 | 0.833386 |
| 185.8 | 384 | 5080.27 | 3177.12 |  |  | 310.8354 |

a) $y=a+b x$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{185.8}{13}=14.29 \\
\bar{y}=\frac{\sum y_{i}}{n}=\frac{384}{13}=29.54 \\
b=\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_{i}^{2}-n \bar{x}^{2}} \\
=\frac{5080.27-13 \times 14.29 \times 29.54}{3177.12-13 \times 14.29^{2}}=\frac{-407.65}{2972.92}=-0.137 \\
a=\bar{y}-b \bar{x}=29.54-(-0.137) \times 14.29=31.498 \\
y=31.498-0.137 x
\end{gathered}
$$

b) When Rs. 20,000 is spent on control then the percentage of dangerous pollutants released is

$$
\begin{gathered}
y=31.498-0.137 \times 0.2 \\
y=31.471
\end{gathered}
$$

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

c)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{e}^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(y_{i}-\widehat{y_{i}}\right)^{2}}{n} \text { where } \widehat{y_{i}}=a+b x_{i} \\
\sigma_{e}^{2}=\frac{310.8354}{13}=23.91 \\
\text { Standard error }=\sqrt{\sigma_{e}^{2}}=\sqrt{23.91}=4.89 \\
\text { Standard error }=4.89
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example:

A national level organization wishes to prepare a manpower plan based on the ever-growing sales offices in the country. Find the regression coefficient of Manpower on Sales Offices for the following data:

| Year | Manpower | Sales Offices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 370 | 22 |
| 2002 | 386 | 25 |
| 2003 | 443 | 28 |
| 2004 | 499 | 31 |
| 2005 | 528 | 33 |
| 2006 | 616 | 38 |

## Solution:

Let $x$ and $y$ represents sales offices and manpower respectively.
Here $n=6$,

| $x$ | $y$ | $x y$ | $x^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 370 | 8140 | 484 |
| 25 | 386 | 9650 | 625 |
| 28 | 443 | 12404 | 784 |
| 31 | 499 | 15469 | 961 |
| 33 | 528 | 17424 | 1089 |
| 38 | 616 | 23408 | 1444 |
| 177 | 2842 | 86495 | 5387 |

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

$$
\sum x_{i}=177, \sum y_{i}=2842, \sum x_{i} y_{i}=86495, \sum x_{i}^{2}=5387
$$

The regression line of $Y$ on $X$ is given by $Y=a+b X$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { where } b=\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_{i}{ }^{2}-n \bar{x}^{2}} \\
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{177}{6}=29.5 \\
\bar{y}=\frac{\sum y_{i}}{n}=\frac{2842}{6}=473.67 \\
b=\frac{86495-6 \times 29.5 \times 473.67}{5387-6 \times(29.5)^{2}}=16.04 \\
a=\bar{y}-b \bar{x}=473.67-16.04 \times 29.5=0.49
\end{gathered}
$$

The regression line of manpower on sales offices is given by

$$
y=0.49+16.04 x
$$

## Example:

The quantity of a raw material purchased by a company at the specified prices during the 12 months of 1992 is given

| MONTH | PRICE/KG | QUANTITY (KG) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 96 | 250 |
| Feb | 110 | 200 |
| Mar | 100 | 250 |
| Aprl | 90 | 280 |
| May | 86 | 300 |
| June | 92 | 300 |
| July | 112 | 220 |
| Aug | 112 | 220 |
| Sep | 108 | 200 |
| Oct | 116 | 210 |
| Nov | 86 | 300 |
| Dec | 92 | 250 |

a) Find the regression equation based on the above data

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

b) Can you estimate the appropriate quantity likely to be purchased if the price shoot upon Rs $124 / \mathrm{kg}$ ?
c) Hence or otherwise obtain the coefficient of correlation between the price prevailing and the quantity demanded

## Solution:

Let $x$ and $y$ represents price and quantity of a raw material purchased by the company respectively.

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{x y}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96 | 250 | 24000 | 9216 | 62500 |
| 110 | 200 | 22000 | 12100 | 40000 |
| 100 | 250 | 25000 | 10000 | 62500 |
| 90 | 280 | 25200 | 8100 | 78400 |
| 86 | 300 | 25800 | 7396 | 90000 |
| 92 | 300 | 27600 | 8464 | 90000 |
| 112 | 220 | 24640 | 12544 | 48400 |
| 112 | 220 | 24640 | 12544 | 48400 |
| 108 | 200 | 21600 | 11664 | 40000 |
| 116 | 210 | 24360 | 13456 | 44100 |
| 86 | 300 | 25800 | 7396 | 90000 |
| 92 | 250 | 23000 | 8464 | 62500 |
| 1200 | 2980 | $\mathbf{2 9 3 6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 3 4 4}$ | 756800 |

$$
\sum x_{i}=1200, \sum y_{i}=2980, \sum x_{i} y_{i}=293640, \sum x_{i}{ }^{2}=121344, \sum y_{i}{ }^{2}=756800,
$$

a) The regression line of $Y$ on $X$ is given by $Y=a+b X$

Where

$$
\begin{gathered}
b=\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_{i}^{2}-n \bar{x}^{2}} \\
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{1200}{12}=100
\end{gathered}
$$

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{y}=\frac{\sum y_{i}}{n}=\frac{2980}{12}=248.33 \\
b=\frac{293640-12 \times 100 \times 248.33}{121344-12 \times(100)^{2}}=-3.24 \\
a=\bar{y}-b \bar{x}=248.33-(-3.24) \times 100 \\
a=572.33
\end{gathered}
$$

The regression line of Price/kg on quantity in kg is given by

$$
y=572.33-3.24 x \ldots \text { (1) }
$$

b) Given $x=124 / \mathrm{kg}$ substituting this in (1) we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
y=572.33-3.24(124) \\
y=170.57
\end{gathered}
$$

If the price is Rs $124 / \mathrm{kg}$, then the appropriate quantity likely to be purchased is approximately 171 kg .
c) To find Correlation coefficient:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho=\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\sum x_{i}{ }^{2}-n \bar{x}^{2}} \sqrt{\sum y_{i}{ }^{2}-n \bar{y}^{2}}} \\
=\frac{293640-12 \times 100 \times 248.33}{\sqrt{121344-12 \times(100)^{2}} \sqrt{756800-12 \times(248.33)^{2}}} \\
\rho=-0.92
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example:

Find the regression analysis for given data. An industry a data for his electricity supplied to his industries and agriculture. It gives the data for the demand for electric motors in a certain region of the country for 6 years. The data is given below

| Electricity supply | Demand for electric motors |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 16 |
| 25 | 20 |
| 31 | 24 |
| 37 | 30 |
| 42 | 35 |
| 43 | 37 |

## Solution:

Let $x$ and $y$ represents electric supply and demand for electric motors for an industry

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

respectively.

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{x y}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 16 | 320 | 400 | 256 |
| 25 | 20 | 500 | 625 | 400 |
| 31 | 24 | 744 | 961 | 576 |
| 37 | 30 | 1110 | 1369 | 900 |
| 42 | 35 | 1470 | 1764 | 1225 |
| 43 | 37 | 1591 | 1849 | 1369 |
| 198 | 162 | 5735 | 6968 | 4726 |

$$
\sum x_{i}=198, \sum y_{i}=162, \sum x_{i} y_{i}=5735, \sum x_{i}^{2}=6968, \sum y_{i}^{2}=4726, n=6
$$

The regression line of $Y$ on $X$ is given by

$$
Y=a+b X
$$

Where

$$
\begin{gathered}
b=\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_{i}{ }^{2}-n \bar{x}^{2}} \\
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{198}{6}=33 \\
\bar{y}=\frac{\sum y_{i}}{n}=\frac{162}{6}=27 \\
b=\frac{5735-6 \times 33 \times 27}{6968-6 \times(33)^{2}} \\
b=0.9 \\
a=\bar{y}-b \bar{x}=27-(0.9) \times 33 \\
a=-2.7
\end{gathered}
$$

The regression line of electric supply on demand for electric motors is given by

$$
y=-2.7+0.9 x
$$

The regression line of $X$ on $Y$ is given by

$$
X=c+d Y
$$

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

Where

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum y_{i}^{2}-n \bar{y}^{2}} \\
d=\frac{5735-6 \times 33 \times 27}{4726-6 \times(27)^{2}} \\
d=1.11 \\
c=\bar{x}-b \bar{y}=33-(1.11) \times 27 \\
c=3.03
\end{gathered}
$$

The regression line of demand for electric motors on electric supply is given by

$$
x=3.03+1.11 y
$$

## Applications of linear regression in Business:

Linear regression is used in business to predict events, manage product quality and analyze a variety of data types for decision-making.

## > Trend Line Analysis

Linear regression is used in the creation of trend lines, which uses past data to predict future performance or "trends." Usually, trend lines are used in business to show the movement of financial or product attributes over time. Stock prices, oil prices, or product specifications can all be analyzed using trend lines.

## > Risk Analysis for Investments

The capital asset pricing model was developed using linear regression analysis, and a common measure of the volatility of a stock or investment is its beta--which is determined using linear regression. Linear regression and its use is key in assessing the risk associated with most investment vehicles.

## > Sales or Market Forecasts

Multivariate (having more than two variables) linear regression is a sophisticated method for forecasting sales volumes, or market movement to create comprehensive plans for growth. This method is more accurate than trend analysis, as trend analysis only looks at how one variable changes with respect to another, where this method looks at how one variable will change when several other variables are modified.

## UNIT-IV CORRELATION AND REGRESSION

## > Total Quality Control

Quality control methods make frequent use of linear regression to analyze key product specifications and other measurable parameters of product or organizational quality (such as number of customer complaints over time, etc).

## > Linear Regression in Human Resources

Linear regression methods are also used to predict the demographics and types of future work forces for large companies. This helps the companies to prepare for the needs of the work force through development of good hiring plans and training plans for the existing employees.

